

Everyone may be joyous!

Here, I am only so happy and glad to continue the story from yesterday!

Ladies and Gentlemen!  
Let me present you with:

## **\*KOCH JOHANNES, NABU & THE ASTROLABE\***

**\* A story of Assyriology and stars from  
'behind the curtain' of modern science \***

**\*(The Argument on the Age of the Astrolabe in N.A.B.U. 2010.2 and 3.)\***

### Part II

by Rumen K. Kolev, the 12th of Simanu, 2011 (14th of June)

\*\*\*\*\*

**WARNING:** This is a story as told on the market by a story-teller. A hat waiting for donations is in the center of the circle. Anyone who stops by to hear the story may be asked for a contribution. (what will be the contribution will be revealed in this second Part of the story). copyright: The StoryTeller

\*\*\*\*\*

To continue with Koch Johannes-

I thought long and in depth on the processes running in his brain.

In order to save his dark, nihilistic and confused Kuglerian world, Koch resorted to complete madness and made himself an artificial schizophrenic: Inventing untenable theory of the paths in order to deny obvious facts.

This must have been a torture for his mathematical mind-set.

Anyway, as I said, after several days of parties celebrating the failure of Koch, I sat and rolled my sleeves up. First I sent an email to Koch where I told him my frank thoughts on his debacle to explain the path positions of the astrolabe stars.

Then, I wrote my detailed answer to the second article of Koch.

I wrote:

**“THE THREE CELESTIAL PATHS IN THE BABYLONIAN ASTRONOMY**

Several days ago I received **NABU 2010.3** and read the second article by Koch Johannes..... ”

Then I explained plainly what the ‘paths’ are and why the new theory of Koch about them is untenable and contradicted by texts and observations. I did not miss also to underline that I follow the theory of Pingree and compute the boundaries of the paths the same way as Koch-Westenholz (with the azimuth of the rising Sun +-45 days from the equinox).

Then I reiterated what would be the results of a vigorous mathematical analysis of the path-positions of the stars in the Astrolabe (5600BC to 5300BC) and MUL.APIN (1300 BC to 500 BC).

#### THE NABU SAGA

Happy I sent this article as my answer to the second article of Koch.

I sent the article to the 3 Assyriologists in charge of the journal N.A.B.U.

Which means

Nouvelles Assyriologiques Breves et Utilitaires

News Assyriological Brief and Useful !

## N.A.B.U.

The scholars editing N.A.B.U. are :  
professor Dominique Charpin,  
professor Jean-Marie Durand and  
Dr. Francis Joannes.

Then I waited.

Nothing happened.

I checked my spam folder.

Nothing.

After one week I wrote again.

Nothing.

Then I wrote again after 5 more days.

Nothing.

Then again.....

In the last 38 days (since May 06, 2011) I sent 6 emails to the editors of NABU!

No answer !

No answer !

Is this happening?

Are they trying to hide.

I could not and still cannot believe it and that's why I waited for so long and so repeatedly emailed them. I wanted to be patient.

But, hey !, ...no matter how disorganized and busy, they after all are in charge of a scholarly journal ! They cannot behave like this ! They cannot publish articles by Koch (absolutely idiotic) against my thesis and do not allow me to defend my position and even to ignore me and not answer my official entreats !!!!!

Hey !, someone quickly tell me that this is not true !

Someone save my hope that these people do not degrade to such absolutely unacceptable behavior !

In such mood I wrote them my last 6<sup>th</sup> email:

\*\*\*

Dear editors of N.A.B.U.,

With this email I am submitting for the 6th time a short article- response to the critique of Koch J. in NABU 2010.3 (his second article on my research).

As it is already 37 days and 6 times that I am trying to get in contact with you, I'd like to remind you that

this is not a private email that you may ignore !

This is an official submission of a scholarly article to a scholarly journal !  
You should answer me and tell me what is the status of my submission !

I am including several other scholars as recipients of this email (since the discussion may be of interest to them).

Hoping that you will excuse my impatience,  
Cordially yours Rumen

Simanu 10, 2011

June 13, 2011

\*\*\*

By the way all files connected with the article that I sent to the editors of NABU, I sent also to Koch!

Koch never sends me his articles that he writes against my thesis.

I always send him my articles and even those that are against his thesis and still unpublished.

Let him know what I want to publish in NABU, what is my answer.

Science is not a game but a serious inquiry.

I do not hide and have nothing to hide.

So !!!

Now I am coming to a place in the story where I will need to refresh myself and I will ask for your contribution- before I continue...

The contribution to this story will be to send an inquiring polite email to the 3 editors of N.A.B.U. and ask them when will they publish my answer to the second article of Koch ?

Dominique Charpin: <charpin@msh-paris.fr>

Jean-Marie Durand: <jean-marie.durand@college-de-france.fr>

Francis Joannes: francis.joannes@mae.u-paris10.fr

\*\*\*to be (eventually) continued \*\*\*